Kosuke Ohsawa, Masahito Yoshida, and Takayuki Doi*

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku University, 6-[3 A](#page-5-0)za-Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [A silver tri](#page-5-0)fluoromethanesulfonate (AgOTf)-promoted direct and mild formylation of benzenes has been developed. The reaction utilizing dichloromethyl methyl ether (Cl_2CHOMe) and AgOTf powerfully formylated various substituted benzenes under temperature conditions as low as −78 °C without losing the protecting groups on the phenolic hydroxyl group.

M any formylation reactions of aromatic compounds have

been reported over the last decades.¹ Reimer and

Tiomann first reported the direct formulation reaction of Tiemann first reported the direct formylation reaction of benzenes known as the Reimer−Tiemann r[e](#page-5-0)action, which utilized dichlorocarbene generated from chloroform under strongly basic conditions. This formylation reaction has been applied to the synthesis of vanillin on an industrial scale.² Similar to the Reimer−Tiemann reaction, a Friedel−Crafts electrophilic aromatic substitution, such as Gatterman reactio[n,](#page-5-0) is useful to introduce a formyl group onto a benzene ring.³ In particular, the Vilsmeier−Haack reaction has been widely utilized in current organic syntheses because of facile in [s](#page-5-0)itu preparation of the reactive species generated from POCl₃ and DMF.⁴ Related concise reaction conditions, such as the Duff reaction, have been found.⁵ A reaction utilizing dichloromethyl meth[yl](#page-5-0) ether $(Cl,CHOMe)$ as a formylating reagent for benzenes⁶ is also well-k[no](#page-5-0)wn and has been applied to the natural product syntheses.⁷ Dichloromethyl methyl ether can act as a f[or](#page-5-0)myl chloride equivalent for the formylation, and the active species can be readil[y](#page-5-0) generated in situ in the presence of a Friedel–Crafts catalyst such as strong Lewis acids, i.e., TiCl_4 , $SnCl₄$, and $AlCl₃$. The formylations via electrophilic aromatic substitution of phenol derivatives 2 are facile and efficient methods to obtain the corresponding benzaldehyde derivatives 1. However, partial removal of the protecting group of the phenols 2 was often observed because formylation proceeds under harsh conditions such as highly acidic conditions (Figure 1).⁸ Therefore, a formylation method for alkoxybenzenes without losing the protecting groups would be an attractive and us[ef](#page-5-0)ul method in organic syntheses. Herein, we report the

AgOTf (3 equiv) Cl₂CHOMe (3 equiv) X = OBn, OMe, OAllyl, OAc, OTs Moderate to good yield OH, Me, i-Pr, t-Bu without losing any protecting groups R^1 = Alkyl, Alkoxyl, Halogen

direct formylation method for alkyl- or alkoxybenzenes utilizing the highly reactive formylating reagent, Cl2CHOMe-silver trifluoromethanesulfonate (AgOTf).

The formylation of p-benzyloxytoluene 2a was initially investigated (Table 1). The formylations of 2a by treatment with phosphorus oxychloride in $DMF⁴$ at 80 °C or Nmethylformanilide ([NM](#page-1-0)FA) at 100 °C did not proceed, and the substrate was completely recovered (e[ntr](#page-5-0)ies 1 and 2). The reaction with hexamethylenetetramine $(HMTA)^5$ in TFA gave the undesired phenol 4a. The benzyloxy group at the orthoposition of the formyl group in 1a was easily dep[ro](#page-5-0)tected under acidic conditions, 9 and a capture of the benzyl cation in Friedel−Crafts fashion concomitantly occurred to provide the undesired phenol [4](#page-5-0)a (entry 3).¹⁰ Although it has been reported that Cl_2CHOMe is a highly reactive formylating reagent,⁶ the reaction with $Cl_2CHOMe-TiCl_4$ $Cl_2CHOMe-TiCl_4$ $Cl_2CHOMe-TiCl_4$ gave a complex mixture because of strong Lewis acid (entry 4). While the formy[la](#page-5-0)tion with milder Lewis acids $SnCl₄$ and $AlCl₃$ provided an inseparable mixture of the desired 1a and the debenzylated product 3a (entries 5 and 6), the reaction of 2a utilizing AgOTf smoothly proceeded at −78 °C to afford the desired 1a in 76% yield without formation of the debenzylated product 3a (entry 7). On the other hand, other Ag salts such as $AgClO₄$, AgNTf₂, AgCl, and AgI did not promote the desired formylation of 2a (entries 8−11); therefore, it should be noted that AgOTf specifically promoted the formylation of p-benzyloxytoluene 2a without loss of a benzyl group.

Under optimal reaction conditions, the scope of the substrate in AgOTf-promoted formylation of substituted benzenes 2 was investigated, and the results are summarized in Table 2. The substrates, p -allyloxy- and p -methoxytoluenes 2b and 2c, were smoothly converted into the corresponding aldehydes [1b](#page-2-0) and 1c without loss of the ethereal protecting groups (entries 1 and 2). On the other hand, acetoxy- and tosyloxytoluenes 2d and 2e were not formylated because of the electron-withdrawing

Received: January 24, 2013

pubs.acs.org/joc

protecting group on the phenols (entries 3 and 4). The formylation of anisole 2f was complete within 5 min at -78 °C, and the product 1f was concurrently obtained with the regioisomer 1f′ in the ratio of 1:1.5 (entry 5). The formylation of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene 2g was also smoothly performed at −78 °C to provide 1g in 58% yield (entry 6). In contrast to the formylation of 2g, 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene 2h was intact at −78 °C but was consumed at 0 °C leading to 1h in 65% yield and double formylated 1h′ (4%) (entry 7). The anisole derivatives containing electron-withdrawing groups 2i−k were smoothly consumed at −78 °C to regioselectively provide aldehydes 1i−k in moderate yields (entries 8−10). Among the 3,5-dimethylphenol derivatives, methyl ether 2l smoothly underwent formylation at −78 °C leading to the desired 1l (51%) and its regioisomer 1l′ (18%) (entry 11). The formylation of phenol 2m at 0 °C provided the desired 1m (40%) and 1m′ (15%), although the alkylation of the phenolic hydroxyl group in 2m was initially faster than the formylation of the benzene ring at −78 °C; therefore, a higher temperature would be required to provide the desired 1m via a rearrangement of the formyl equivalent onto the benzene ring (entry 12). The formylation of acetate 2n was also investigated. The substrate 2n was completely consumed at 0 $^{\circ}$ C; however, an inseparable mixture of 1n and its regioisomer $1n'$ was obtained in a ratio of 1.2:1 (entry 13).¹¹ Pentasubstituted benzene 2o was smoothly formylated to provide the desired 1o in 70% yield without performi[ng](#page-5-0) demethylation of the methyl ethers (entry 14). In AgOTfpromoted formylation of alkylbenezene derivatives, the electron-rich 1,3,5-trialkylbenzenes 2p and 2q were readily formylated at −78 °C to give 1p and 1q in moderate yields, respectively (entries 15 and 16). The formylation of the substrate 2r, however, did not proceed because of steric hindrance from the *t*-Bu groups (entry 17). Pentamethylbenzene 2s, known as a radical scavenger, smoothly reacted at −78 °C to give 1s in 77% yield (entry 18). The formylation of the mono-bromobenzene derivative 2t proceeded at 0 °C to afford 1t and its regioisomer 1t′ in a ratio of 3:1 (entry 19). Due to the electron-withdrawing effect of a bromine atom, the electrophilic substitution of dibromo substituted 2u hardly proceeded, thereby a trace amount of 1u and 1u′ was provided (entry 20). The polycyclic aromatic compound such as 2v was

also tolerated in this condition and the corresponding formylated product 1v was provided in good yield (entry 21). In addition, the reaction conditions we developed powerfully formylated the electron-deficient heteroaromatics 2w, 3-formyl indole derivative 1w was obtained in moderate yield (entry 22).¹²

This proposed formylation would proceed via the reaction pat[hw](#page-5-0)ay illustrated in Figure 2. Activation of $Cl₂CHOMe$ by AgOTf may initially occur, leading to a highly active species 5. Nucleophilic addition of ben[ze](#page-3-0)nes to 5 preferentially at the ortho-position of an electron-rich substituent, such as OR, in a Friedel−Crafts fashion, followed by hydrolysis would provide the corresponding aldehyde 1. Although highly acidic trifluoromethanesulfonic acid is generated under the reaction conditions, the protecting groups of phenols would be tolerant under a low reaction temperature.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the formylation of substituted benzenes under mild conditions. A formylating species generated from Cl₂CHOMe−AgOTf is highly reactive, and the formylation of benzenes smoothly proceeded at low temperature (−78−0 °C) to provide the corresponding aldehydes in moderate yields. The protecting groups of phenol such as benzyl, allyl, and methyl ether are tolerant under such reaction conditions; therefore, the reaction should be useful in the synthesis of highly functionalized aromatic compounds.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Techniques. Chemicals and solvents were all purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. All reactions in solution phase were monitored by thin-layer chromatography carried out on glass-packed silica gel plates (60F-254) with UV light and visualized by p-anisaldehyde H_2SO_4 −ethanol solution or phosphomolybdic acid ethanol solution. Flash column chromatography was carried out with silica gel $(40-100 \ \mu m)$ with the indicated solvent system. ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR spectra (100 MHz) were recorded in the indicated solvent. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in units parts per million (ppm) relative to the signal for internal tetramethylsilane (0.00 ppm for ¹H) for solutions in chloroform-d. NMR spectral data are reported as follows: chloroform- d (77.0 ppm for ¹³C), methanol- d_3 (3.30 ppm for ¹H), dimethyl sulfoxide- d_4 (2.49 ppm for ¹H and 39.5 ppm for ¹³C) when internal standard is not indicated. Multiplicities are reported by the following abbreviations: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet), dd (double doublet), dt (double triplet), dq (double quartet), ddd

 a Isolated yield. b Inseparable mixture of 1n and 1n′ was obtained in 49% yield after column chromatography. The ratio of 1n and 1n′ was determined to be 1.2:1 by 1 H NMR.

Figure 2. Plausible reaction mechanism of the AgOTf-promoted formylation.

(double double doublet), ddt (double double triplet), J (coupling constants in hertz). High-resolution mass spectra were measured on TOF-MS with EI probe. Infrared spectra are reported in reciprocal centimeters (cm[−]¹). Melting points were measured on a melting point apparatus and are not corrected.

1-Benzyloxy-4-methylbenzene $(2a)$.¹³ To a solution of 4methylphenol (541 mg, 5.00 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) were added K_2CO_3 (2.07 g, 15.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv) an[d b](#page-5-0)enzyl bromide (891 μ L, 7.50 mmol, 1.5 equiv) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 5.5 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was diluted with EtOAc and acidified with 3 M HCl. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine twice, saturated aq NaHCO₃ , and brine, dried with MgSO₄, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc = 20:1) to afford benzyl ether 2a (906 mg, 4.57 mmol, 91%) as a white solid: mp 37–38 °C (lit.¹⁴ mp 41–42 °C); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.44 (5H, m), 7.08 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.88 (2H, d, J $= 8.4$ Hz), 5.04 (2H, s), 2.[29](#page-5-0) (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.7, 137.3, 130.1, 129.9, 128.5, 127.8, 127.4, 115.8, 114.7, 70.0, 20.5; IR (neat) 3031, 2922, 1615, 1585, 1511, 1455, 1239, 1026, 734, 696 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₄H₁₄O 198.1045, found 198.1036.
1-Allyloxy-4-methylbenzene (2b).¹⁵ To a solution of 4-

methylphenol (541 mg, 5.00 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) were added K_2CO_3 (2.07 g, 15.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv) an[d all](#page-5-0)yl bromide (648 μ L, 7.50 mmol, 1.5 equiv) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was diluted with EtOAc and acidified with 3 M HCl. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine twice, saturated aq NaHCO $_3$, and brine, dried with $MgSO_4$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $= 20:1$) to afford allyl ether 2b (622 mg, 4.20 mmol, 84%) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.07 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 6.82 (2H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 6.01 (1H, ddt, $J = 17.2$, 10.2, 5.2 Hz), 5.40 $(1H, dq, J = 17.2, 1.4 Hz), 5.27 (1H, dq, J = 10.2, 1.4 Hz), 4.51 (2H,$ dt, J = 5.2, 1.4 Hz), 2.28 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.4, 133.5, 129.84, 129.77, 117.2, 114.5, 68.7, 20.3; IR (neat) 3029, 2922, 1613, 1585, 1510, 1291, 1241, 1029, 818 cm[−]¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}O$ 148.0888, found 148.0887.

4-Methylphenyl Acetate $(2d).¹⁶$ To a solution of 4-methylphenol (300 mg, 2.77 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (5.0 mL) were added triethylamine (965 μL, 6.93 mmol, [2.5](#page-5-0) equiv), acetic anhydride (315 μ L, 3.33 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and DMAP (16.9 mg, 0.139 mmol, 0.05 equiv) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 12 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 3 M HCl. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aq $NAHCO₃$ and brine, dried with MgSO4, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc = 9:1) to afford acetate $2d$ (382 mg, 2.54 mmol, 92%) as a colorless oil: 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.17 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.96 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 2.34 (3H, s), 2.28 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.5, 148.5, 135.3, 129.8,

121.2, 20.9, 20.7; IR (neat) 3035, 2925, 1760, 1506, 1369, 1217, 1197, 1166, 909 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₉H₁₀O₂ 150.0681, found 150.0684.

4-Methylphenyl Tosylate $(2e).¹⁷$ To a solution of 4-methylphenol (300 mg, 2.77 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (5.0 mL) were added triethylamine (965 μL, 6. 93 mm[ol,](#page-5-0) 2.5 equiv), p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (635 mg, 3.33 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and DMAP (16.9 mg, 0.139 mmol, 0.05 equiv) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 12 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 3 M HCl. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aq $NAHCO₃$ and brine, dried with MgSO4, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc = 9:1) to afford tosylate $2e(629)$ mg, 2.40 mmol, 87%) as a white solid: mp 68−69 °C (lit.¹⁸ mp 68−69 °C); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.30 $(2H, d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz})$, 7.06 $(2H, d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz})$, 6.85 $(2H, d, J = 8.5 \text{ Hz})$, 2.44 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD[Cl](#page-6-0)₃) δ 147.5, 145.2, 136.7, 132.5, 130.0, 129.7, 128.5, 122.0, 21.7, 20.8; IR (neat) 3041, 1597, 1376, 1198, 1175, 1158, 829, 654 cm[−]¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{14}H_{14}O_3S$ 262.0664, found 262.0664.

3,5-Dimethylphenyl Acetate $(2n).¹⁹$ To a solution of 3,5dimethylphenol (1.00 g, 8.19 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) were added triethylamine (3.41 mL, 24.6 mmo[l, 3](#page-6-0).0 equiv), acetic anhydride (1.08 mL, 11.5 mmol, 1.4 equiv), and DMAP (20.0 mg, 0.164 mmol, 0.02 equiv) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 2 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 3 M HCl. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aq $NaHCO₃$ and brine, dried with MgSO4, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc = 9:1) to afford acetate $2n$ (1.30) g, 7.92 mmol, 97%) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.86 (1H, s), 6.70 (2H, s), 2.31 (3H, s), 2.28 (3H, s); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.4, 150.4, 139.0, 127.3, 119.0, 21.0, 20.8; IR (neat) 2921, 1761, 1618, 1591, 1369, 1210, 1137, 1032, 677 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}O_2$ 164.0837, found 164.0823.

Methyl 2,4-Dimethoxy-3,6-dimethylbenzoate (2o). To a solution of methyl 2,4-dihydroxy-3,6-dimethylbenzoate²⁰ (1.00 g, 5.10 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) were added K_2CO_3 (5.64 g, 40.8) mmol, 8.0 equiv) and methyl iodide (925 μ L, 20.4 mmol, [4.0](#page-6-0) equiv) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at 50 °C for 9 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was diluted with EtOAc and acidified with 3 M HCl. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine twice, saturated aq NaHCO₃, and brine, dried with $MgSO_4$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc = 9:1) to afford methyl ether 2o (1.12 g, 5.01 mmol, 98%) as a colorless oil. 2o: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.46 (1H, S), 3.90 (3H, s), 3.82 (3H, s), 3.75 (3H, s), 2.30 (3H, s), 2.10 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.8, 159.2, 156.5, 134.5, 120.6, 117.0, 107.6, 61.6, 55.4, 51.8, 19.6, 8.5; IR (neat) 2949, 1733, 1605, 1579, 1464, 1322, 1277, 1154, 1121 cm[−]¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}O_4$ 224.1049, found 224.1052.

General Procedure for the Formylation of the Benzenes 2 Utilizing Cl₂CHOMe−AgOTf. To a suspension of substrate 2 (1.00 mmol) and AgOTf (3.00 mmol, 3.0 equiv) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (1.5 mL/ mmol) was added a solution of Cl₂CHOMe (3.00 mmol, 3.0 equiv) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL/mmol) at −78 °C under an argon atomosphere. After being stirred at the optimal temperature (see, Table 2), the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$. After being stirred at room temperature for 30 min, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The organic la[ye](#page-2-0)r was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with $MgSO_4$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc = $50/1-1/4$) to afford the desired benzaldehyde derivative 1.

2-Benzyloxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde (1a):²¹ yield 76% (172 mg, 0.760 mmol); white solid; mp 56–57 °C (lit.²² mp 58.5–59 °C); R_f 0.52 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (40[0 M](#page-6-0)Hz, CDCl₃) δ 10.5 $(1H, s)$, 7.65 $(1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz)$, 7.31–7.44 $(6H, m)$ $(6H, m)$, 6.94 $(1H, d, J =$ 8.4 Hz), 5.15 (2H, s), 2.30 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 189.8, 159.1, 136.5, 136.2, 130.4, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.2, 124.8, 113.0, 70.5, 20.2; IR (neat) 3033, 2923, 2861, 1685, 1612, 1583, 1500, 1286, 1246, 1220, 1160, 1025, 725, 696 cm[−]¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}O_2$ 226.0994, found 226.0978.

 2 -Allyloxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde (1b): 23 yield 69% (122 mg, 0.691 mmol); yellowish oil; R_f 0.53 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 10.5 (1H, s), 7.64 (1[H, d](#page-6-0), J = 2.4 Hz), 7.33 (1H, dd, $J = 8.2, 2.4$ Hz), 6.88 (1H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 6.07 (1H, ddt, $J = 17.2$, 10.6, 5.0 Hz), 5.44 (1H, dq, $J = 17.2$, 1.4 Hz), 5.33 (1H, dq, $J = 10.6$, 1.4 Hz), 4.63 (1H, dt, J = 5.0, 1.4 Hz), 2.31 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 189.8, 159.0, 136.4, 132.5, 130.2, 128.3, 124.7, 117.8, 112.8, 69.2, 20.2; IR (neat) 2860, 1685, 1612, 1496, 1284, 1247, 1224, 1161, 995 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{12}O_2$ 176.0837, found 176.0823.

2-Methoxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde $(1c):^{24}$ yield 60% (89.4 mg, 0.595 mmol); yellowish oil; R_f 0.53 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 10.4 $(1\text{ H}, \text{s})$, 7.63 $(1\text{ H}, \text{ d}, \text{ J} = 2.4 \text{ Hz})$, 7.36 $(1\text{ H}, \text{ d})$ dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz), 6.89 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.91 (3H, s), 2.32 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 189.9, 159.9, 136.5, 129.9, 128.4, 124.4, 111.5, 55.6, 20.1; IR (neat) 2946, 2863, 1680, 1611, 1583, 1497, 1394, 1285, 1254, 1157, 1029 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₉H₁₀O₂ 150.0681, found 150.0670.

2-Methoxybenzaldehyde $(1f)$.²⁵ yield 28% (38.6 mg, 0.284 mmol), an orange oil; R_f 0.27 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.5 (1H, s), 7.83 (1H[, dd](#page-6-0), J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.56 (1H, ddd, J $= 8.4, 7.6, 1.6 Hz$, 7.03 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.89 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.93 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 189.8, 161.8, 135.9, 128.5, 124.8, 120.6, 111.6, 55.6; IR (neat) 2945, 2845, 1688, 1600, 1484, 1287, 1246, 758 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₈H₈O₂ 136.0524, found 136.0518.

4-Methoxybenzaldehyde $(1f')$:²⁶ yield 43% (59.1 mg, 0.434 mmol); yellowish oil; R_f 0.19 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 9.89 (1H, s), [7.8](#page-6-0)5 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.01 (2H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 3.90 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 190.8, 164.5, 131.9, 129.9, 114.2, 55.5; IR (neat) 2841, 1684, 1600, 1577, 1511, 1260, 1160, 834 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₈H₈O₂ 136.0524, found 136.0518.

2,4-Dimethoxybenzaldehyde $(1g)$: 27 yield 58% (96.4 mg, 0.580) mmol); white solid; mp 66–67 °C (lit.²⁸ mp 69–71 °C); R_f 0.09 $(hexane/EtOAc = 9:1);$ ¹H NMR (40[0 M](#page-6-0)Hz, CDCl₃) δ 10.3 (1H, s), 7.82 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.56 (1H, d, J [= 8](#page-6-0).4 Hz), 6.45 (1H, s), 3.91 (3H, s), 3.88 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.3, 166.2, 163.6, 130.8, 119.1, 105.7, 97.9, 55.61, 55.59; IR (neat) 2977, 2863, 2781, 1673, 1600, 1580, 1456, 1335, 1285, 1268, 1216, 1028, 829 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₉H₁₀O₃ 166.0630, found 166.0616.

2,4,6-Trimethoxybenzaldehyde $(1h)$:²⁹ yield 65% (127 mg, 0.647) mmol); white solid; mp 132−133 °C (lit.^{29'}mp 115−116 °C); R_f 0.18 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 [MH](#page-6-0)z, CDCl₃) δ 10.4 (1H, s), 6.08 (2H, s), 3.89 (6H, s), 3.88 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 187.7, 166.2, 164.1, 108.8, 90.2, 56.0, 55.5; IR (neat) 2975, 2881, 2843, 2796, 1671, 1606, 1578, 1475, 1334, 1230, 1217, 1129, 809 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₀H₁₂O₄ 196.0736, found 196.0729.

2,4-Diformyl-1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (1h′):³⁰ yield 4% (7.9 mg, 0.0352 mmol); white solid; mp 169–170 °C (lit.²⁹ mp 70 °C); R_f 0.30 $(hexane/EtOAc = 1:4);$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C[DC](#page-6-0)l₃) δ 10.3 (1H, s), 6.28 (1H, s), 4.01 (6H, s), 3.96 (3H, s); ¹³C NM[R](#page-6-0) (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 187.1, 167.9, 167.2, 112.7, 90.9, 64.9, 56.3; IR (neat) 2953, 2859, 1679, 1589, 1559, 1236, 1149, 1106 cm[−]¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{12}O_5$ 224.0685, found 224.0674.

5-Bromo-2-methoxybenzaldehyde $(1i):$ ³¹ yield 61% (132 mg, 0.612 mmol); white solid; mp 116−117 °C (lit.³¹ mp 116−119 °C); R_f 0.30 (hexane/EtOAc = 1:4); ¹H NMR ([400](#page-6-0) MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.4 $(1H, s)$, 7.93 $(1H, d, J = 2.6 Hz)$, 7.64 $(1H, dd, J = 8.8, 2.6 Hz)$, 6.90 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 3.93 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.3, 160.7, 138.3, 131.0, 126.1, 113.7, 113.5, 56.0; IR (neat) 3103, 2967, 2844, 1674, 1590, 1477, 1389, 1266, 1243, 1178, 1019, 823, 756 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₈H₇BrO₂ 213.9629, found 213.9597.

5-*lodo-2-methoxybenzaldehyde* (1*j*):³² yield 51% (133 mg, 0.506 mmol); white solid; mp 144−145 °C (lit.³² mp 142−143 °C); R_f 0.32 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 [MH](#page-6-0)z, CDCl₃) δ 10.3 (1H, s), 8.10 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.81 (1H, dd, J [= 8](#page-6-0).8, 2.4 Hz), 6.79 (1H, d, J $= 8.8$ Hz), 3.92 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.2, 161.4, 144.1, 137.0, 126.5, 114.1, 83.0, 55.8; IR (neat) 2963, 1671, 1584, 1472, 1389, 1268, 1244, 1176, 1020, 819 cm[−]¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_8H_7IO_2$ 261.9491, found 261.9498.

Methyl 3-formyl-4-methoxybenzoate (1k): yield 66% (128 mg, 0.657 mmol); white solid; mp 101−102 °C; R_f 0.17 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.5 (1H, s), 8.51 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz), 8.25 (1H, dd, $J = 9.0$, 2.2 Hz), 7.05 (1H, d, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 4.01 (3H, s), 3.91 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.8, 165.9, 164.7, 137.1, 130.6, 124.4, 122.9, 111.5, 56.0, 52.1; IR (neat) 2952, 1714, 1685, 1606, 1267, 1125, 761 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₀H₁₀O₄ 194.0579, found 194.0572.

4,6-Dimethyl-2-methoxybenzaldehyde (1l): yield 51% (84.0 mg, 0.512 mmol); white solid; mp 48–49 °C; R_f 0.30 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.6 (1H, s), 6.64 (1H, s), 6.63 $(1H, s)$, 3.88 (3H, s), 2.55 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 191.7, 163.3, 145.6, 142.0, 125.0, 121.0, 109.7, 55.7, 22.1, 21.4; IR (neat) 2965, 2926, 1678, 1599, 1319, 1148 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}O_2$ 164.0837, found 164.0829.

2,6-Dimethyl-4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1l′):³³ yield 18% (28.8 mg, 0.175 mmol); white solid; mp 42−43 °C (lit.³⁴ 40−41 °C); R_f 0.26 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 [MH](#page-6-0)z, CDCl₃) δ 10.5 $(1H, s)$ $(1H, s)$ $(1H, s)$, 6.59 $(2H, s)$, 3.84 $(3H, s)$, 2.61 $(6H, s)$; ¹³[C N](#page-6-0)MR $(100 MHz,$ CDCl3) δ 191.6, 162.7, 144.5, 125.9, 114.8, 55.2, 21.0; IR (neat) 2961, 2923, 1678, 1609, 1462, 1304, 1202, 1097, 832 cm[−]¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}O_2$ 164.0837, found 164.0824.

4,6-Dimethyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde $(1m)$:³⁵ yield 40% (60.1) mg, 0.402 mmol); white solid (mp 49–50 °C (lit.⁶ mp 49 °C); R_f 0.43 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C[DC](#page-6-0)l₃) δ 12.0 (1H, s), 10.2 (1H, s), 6.63 (1H, s), 6.54 (1H, s), 2.56 (3[H,](#page-6-0) [s](#page-5-0)), 2.31 (3H, s); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 194.5, 163.4, 149.2, 141.8, 123.1, 116.5, 116.1, 22.1, 17.9; IR (neat) 3412, 2928, 2884, 1641, 1572, 1443, 1311, 1238, 1193, 1038, 804, 757 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₉H₁₀O₂ 150.0681, found 150.0668.

2,6-Dimethyl-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde $(1m')$:³⁶ yield 15% (22.6) mg, 0.150 mmol); white solid; mp 194−195 °C (lit.³⁷ 190−191 °C); R_f 0.21 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (400 [M](#page-6-0)Hz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.3 (1H, s), 6.52 (2H, s), 3.34 (6H, s); 13C NM[R](#page-6-0) (1[00](#page-6-0) MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 191.4, 161.4, 144.1, 124.3, 116.3, 20.5; IR (neat) 3132, 2961, 2931, 1652, 1603, 1560, 1315, 1272, 1157, 641 cm[−]¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_9H_{10}O_2$ 150.0681, found 150.0689.

2-Acetoxy-4,6-dimethylbenzylaldehyde (1n) and 4-Acetoxy-2,6 dimethylbenzaldehyde (1n'): yield 49% (determined by ¹H NMR,
1n:1n' = 12:1) 1n:¹¹ colorless oil: R:0.20 (bexane/EtOAc = 9:1): ¹H **1n**: $1n' = 1.2:1$). $1n:^{11}$ colorless oil; $R_f 0.20$ (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.3 (1H, s), 6.95 (1H, s), 6.80 (1H, s), 2.60 ([3H](#page-5-0), s), 2.36 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 189.3, 169.5, 152.7, 145.7, 142.3, 130.4, 123.6, 121.7, 21.6, 20.8, 20.2; IR (neat) 2926, 1773, 1691, 1618, 1369, 1202, 1140, 1050 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{12}O_3$ 192.0786, found 192.0782. $1n'$:¹¹ a colorless oil; R_f 0.20 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.6 (1H, s), 6.85 (2H, s), 2.61 (6H, s), 2.31 (3H, s); ^{[13](#page-5-0)}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.2, 168.9, 153.5, 143.5, 130.2, 122.6, 21.1, 20.7; IR (neat) 2927, 1771, 1683, 1596, 1199, 1134 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{12}O_3$ 192.0786, found 192.0768.

Methyl 4,6-dimethoxy-2,5-dimethyl-3-formylbenzoate (10):³⁸ yield 70% (177 mg, 0.703 mmol); yellowish oil; R_f 0.26 (hexane/ EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.4 (1[H,](#page-6-0) s), 3.94 (3H, s), 3.84 (3H, s), 3.82 (3H, s), 2.47 (3H, s), 2.23 (3H, s); 13C N[M](#page-6-0)R $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 191.5, 168.1, 165.1, 160.3, 137.1, 127.2, 124.2, 123.1, 63.1, 61.7, 52.4, 17.2, 8.9; IR (neat) 2950, 1735, 1685, 1570,

1310, 1206, 1106 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}O_5$ 252.0998, found 252.0991.

2,4,6-Trimethylbenzaldehyde $(1p):^{39}$ yield 69% $(102.3 \text{ mg}, 0.690)$ mmol); yellowish oil; R_f 0.35 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.6 (1[H, s](#page-6-0)), 6.89 (2H, s), 2.57 (6H, s), 2.31 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.9, 143.8, 141.4, 130.5, 129.9, 21.4, 20.4; IR (neat) 2963, 2922, 2863, 1683, 1609, 1436, 1208, 1148, 852, 782 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₀H₁₂O 148.0888, found 148.0873.

2,4,6-Triisopropylbenzaldehyde $(1q)$:⁴⁰ yield 72% (168.0 mg) 0.723 mmol); yellowish oil; R_f 0.53 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.7 (1H, [s\),](#page-6-0) 7.11 (2H, s), 3.60 (2H, septet, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 3.60 (1H, septet, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 1.274 (6H, d, $J = 6.8$) Hz), 1.266 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 195.0, 153.6, 150.4, 121.6, 34.7, 28.7, 24.2, 23.7; IR (neat) 2964, 1691, 1604, 1459, 878 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₆H₂₄O 232.1827, found 232.1823.

Pentamethylbenzaldehyde (1s): yield 77% (136 mg, 0.773 mmol); white solid; mp 150−151 °C (lit.⁴¹ 143−148.5 °C); R_f 0.41 (hexane/ EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.6 (1H, s), 2.42 (6H, s), 2.29 (3H, s), 2.24 (6H, s); ¹³[C N](#page-6-0)MR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.5, 140.0, 134.5, 133.6, 133.0, 17.6, 16.1; IR (neat) 2921, 2868, 1688, 1566, 1287, 755 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O 176.1201, found 176.1181.

2-Bromo-4,6-dimethylbenzaldehyde (1t): yield 62% (133 mg, 0.621 mmol); white solid; mp 39–40 °C; R_f 0.40 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.5 (1H, s), 7.35 (1H, s), 7.01 (1H, s), 2.56 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 194.2, 144.9, 142.6, 132.3, 132.2, 129.1, 128.7, 21.24, 21.16; IR (neat) 2970, 2927, 2858, 2761, 1691, 1601, 1376, 1131, 848 cm^{−1}; HREIMS calcd for C9H9BrO 211.9837, found 211.9822.

4-Bromo-2,6-dimethylbenzaldehyde $(1t')$:⁴² yield 19% (39 mg, 0.186 mmol); white solid; mp 66–67 °C; R_f 0.36 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.6 (1[H,](#page-6-0) s), 7.27 (2H, s), 2.59 $(6H, s)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.5, 143.0, 132.5, 131.1, 127.7, 20.3; IR (neat) 2964, 2925, 1690, 1577, 1417, 1256, 852 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C9H9BrO 211.9837, found 211.9824.

2,4-Dibromo-6-methylbenzaldehyde $(1u)$: yield 3% (7.7 mg) 0.0277 mmol); white solid; mp 58–59 °C; R_f 0.41 (hexane/EtOAc $= 9:1$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.5 (1H, s), 7.70 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.39 (1H, d, $J = 1.6$ Hz), 2.57 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 193.6, 144.0, 134.4, 134.1, 130.5, 128.7, 127.7, 21.1; IR (neat) 2927, 2869, 1699, 1573, 1537, 1379, 1170, 892, 856, 791 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for $C_8H_6Br_2O$ 275.8785, found 275.8776.

2,6-Dibromo-4-methylbenzaldehyde (1u′):⁴³ yield 2% (5.1 mg, 0.0184 mmol); white solid; mp 100−101 °C (lit.⁴³ mp 95−97 °C); R_f 0.37 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 [M](#page-6-0)Hz, CDCl₃) δ 10.2 (1H, s), 7.48 (2H, s), 2.37 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR [\(10](#page-6-0)0 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 190.9, 145.6, 134.4, 129.6, 125.1, 20.9; IR (neat) 2924, 2865, 2761, 1706, 1587, 1058, 858, 733 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₈H₆Br₂O 275.8785, found 275.8795.

4-Methoxynaphthalene-1-carbaldehyde $(1v):^{44}$ yield 77% (143) mg, 0.766 mmol); yellowish oil; R_f 0.16 (hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.2 ([1H](#page-6-0), s), 9.31 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.34 (1H, d, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 7.93 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.70 (1H, ddd, $J =$ 8.4, 7.0, 1.2 Hz), 7.58 (1H, ddd, J = 8.7, 7.0, 1.2 Hz), 6.93 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.11 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.2, 160.7, 139.6, 131.8, 129.4, 126.3, 125.4, 124.9, 124.8, 122.3, 102.8, 55.9; IR (neat) 2940, 2846, 1677, 1619, 1513, 1429, 1251, 1220, 1092, 1059, 765 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₂H₁₀O₂ 186.0681, found 186.0669.

1-[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde (1w):⁴⁵ yield 66% (198 mg, 0.661 mmol); yellowish solid; mp 146−147 °C (lit.⁴⁵ mp 147–149 °C); R_f 0.15 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); ¹H N[MR](#page-6-0) $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 10.1 (1H, s), 8.26 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.23 (1H, s), [7.9](#page-6-0)5 (1H, dd, J = 7.8, 1.1 Hz), 7.86 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.41 (1H, dt, $J = 7.8$, 1.3 Hz), 7.36 (1H, dt, $J = 7.8$, 1.1 Hz), 7.30 (2H, d, $J = 8.4$) Hz), 2.38 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 185.3, 146.1, 136.2, 135.2, 134.4, 130.3, 127.2, 126.3, 125.0, 122.6, 122.4, 113.2, 21.6; IR (neat) 3127, 2824, 1679, 1596, 1541, 1379, 1177, 1100, 970, 748, 661 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₆H₁₂NO₃S 299.0616, found 299.0615.

3-Benzyl-2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde (4a): yield 28% (63 mg, 0.28 mmol); yellowish powder; mp 74−75 °C; R_f 0.42 (hexane/ EtOAc = 9:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 9.86 (1H, s), 7.13– 7.28 (5H, m), 7.24 (2H, s), 3.95 (2H, s), 2.27 (3H, s); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.6, 157.4, 140.1, 138.6, 131.6, 129.6, 128.9, 128.8, 128.4, 126.1, 120.1, 34.7, 20.3; IR (neat) 3026, 2923, 2851, 1651, 1603, 1452, 1260, 696 cm⁻¹; HREIMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₂ 226.0994, found 226.1005.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Copies of ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra for 1a–1w, 2o, and 4a. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: doi_taka@mail.pharm.tohoku.ac.jp.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:doi_taka@mail.pharm.tohoku.ac.jp) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Platform for Drug Discovery, Informatics and Structural Life Science from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. We also thank to CAMPUS Asia Support for the Formation of a Core Center under the MEXT for financial support (K.O.).

■ REFERENCES

(1) Olah, G. A.; Ohannesianm, L.; Arvanaghi, M. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 671−684.

(2) (a) Reimer, K. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1876, 9, 423−424. (b) Reimer, K.; Tiemann, F. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1876, 9, 824−828. (c) Reimer, K.; Tiemann, F. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1876, 9, 1268− 1278. (d) Wynberg, H. The Riemer−Tiemann Reaction. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 2, 769−775.

(3) Gattermann, L.; Koch, J. A. Ber. Deutsch. Chem. Ges. 1897, 30, 1622−1624.

(4) Vilsmeier, A.; Haack, A. Ber. Deutsch. Chem. Ges. 1927, 60, 119− 122.

(5) Duff, J. C.; Bills, E. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1932, 1987.

(6) (a) Rieche, A.; Gross, H.; Höft, E. Chem. Ber. 1960, 93, 88−94. (b) Kundrat, O.; Dvorakova, H.; Eigner, V.; Lhotak, P. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 407−411. (c) Lang, J. F. US 5138099, 1992.

(7) Haseltine, J. N.; Cabal, M. P.; Mantlo, N. B.; Iwasawa, N.; Yamashita, D. S.; Coleman, R. S.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Schulte, G. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3850−3866.

(8) Lütjens, H.; Scammells, P. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6581− 6584.

(9) Fletcher, S.; Gunnung, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 4817−4819.

(10) Nay, B.; Arnaudinaud, V.; Vercauteren, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 2379−2384.

(11) The characterization of 1n and 1n′ were performed by acetylation of 1m and 1m′, respectively.

(12) Acid-labile protecting groups such as Boc and MOM groups were easily removed under the reaction conditions.

(13) Mukaiyama, T.; Shintou, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7359− 7367.

(14) Curtin, D. Y.; Wilhelm, M. J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 9−12.

(15) Wang, E. C.; Hsu, M. K.; Lin, Y. L.; Huang, K. S. Heterocycles 2002, 67, 1997−2010.

(16) Xi, Z.; Hao, W.; Wang, P.; Cai, M. Molecules 2009, 14, 3528− 3537.

(17) Sakurai, N.; Mukaiyama, T. Heterocycles 2007, 74, 771−790.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

- (18) Lange, P. P.; Linder, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1111− 1114.
- (19) Battaini, G.; Monzani, E.; Perotti, A.; Para, C.; Casella, L.; Santagostini, L.; Gullotti, M.; Dillinger, R.; Nather, C.; Tuczek, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4185−4198.
- (20) Elix, J. A.; Norfolk, S. Aust. J. Chem. 1975, 28, 1113−1124.
- (21) Kobayashi, K.; Nishikata, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Miyaura, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2008, 81, 1019−1025.
- (22) Ardis, A. E.; Baltzly, R.; Schoen, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 58, 591−595.
- (23) Miege, F.; Meyer, C.; Cossy, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5932−5937.
- (24) Trivedi, S. V.; Mamdapur, V. R. Indian J. Chem. Sect. B 1990, 29B, 876−878.
- (25) Lin, C.-K.; Lu, T.-J. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 9688−9693.
- (26) Velusamy, S.; Ahamed, M.; Punniyamurthy, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4821−4824.
- (27) Rolfe, A.; Probst, D. A.; Volp, K. A.; Omar, I.; Flynn, D. L.; Hanson, P. R. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8785−8790.
- (28) Rivero, I. A.; Espinoza, K. A.; Ochoa, A. J. Comb. Chem. 2004, 6, 270−274.
- (29) Gallardo-Goday, A.; Fierro, A.; McLean, T. H.; Castillo, M.; Cassels, B. K.; Reyes-Parada, M.; Nichols, D. E. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 2407−2419.
- (30) Kuhnert, N.; Rossignolo, G. M.; Lopez-Periago, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 1157−1170.
- (31) Dabrowski, M.; Kubicka, J.; Lulinski, S.; Serwatowski, J. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 6590−6595.
- (32) Yang, H.; Li, Y.; Jiang, M.; Wang, J.; Fu, H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 5652−5660.
- (33) Tietze, L.; Vock, C. A.; Krimmelbem, I, K.; Nacke, L. Synthesis 2009, 12, 2040−2060.
- (34) Kende, A. S.; Koch, K.; Smith, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2210−2218.
- (35) Knight, P. D.; Clarkson, G.; Hammond, M. L.; Kimberley, B. S.; Scott, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5125−5144.
- (36) Yamada, K.; Toyota, T.; Ishimaru, M.; Sugawara, T. New J. Chem. 2001, 25, 667−669.
- (37) Davis, C. T.; Geissmas, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 3507− 3511.
- (38) Gunzinger, J.; Tabacchi, R. Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 68, 1940− 1947.
- (39) Fergus, S.; Eustace, S. J.; Hegarty, A. F. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 4663−4669.
- (40) Casarini, D.; Lunazzi, L.; Mazzanti, A. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2811−2818.
- (41) Smith, L. I.; Nichols, J. J. Org. Chem. 1941, 6, 489−506.
- (42) Kumar, R. J.; Karlsson, S.; Streich, D.; Jensen, A. R.; Jäger, M.; Becker, H.-C.; Bergquist, J.; Johansson, O.; Hammarström, L. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2830−2842.
- (43) Luliński, S.; Serwatowski, J. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5384−5837. (44) Tietze, L. F.; Vock, C. A.; Krimmelbein, V. I.; Nacke, L. Synthesis
- 2009, 12, 2040−2060.
- (45) Couladouros, E. A.; Magos, A. D. Mol. Divers. 2005, 9, 99−109.